

Record of proceedings dated 21.09.2023

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 17 of 2023	Garrison Engineer (I) R&D	TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking orders for handing over of connected assets to MES (Deemed licensee) created from defence funds at RCI, Hyderabad to establish direct grid connectivity being deemed licensee to enable MES to operate as deemed distribution licensee.

Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents is present. There is no representation for petitioner. The representative of the respondents has sought time for filing counter affidavit. He also stated that the petitioner has not made the transmission and distribution licensee as party to the petition, to which the Commission has observed that apart from the status of the deemed licensee being sought, it also sought transfer of assets and that therefore, the Commission required the transmission and distribution licensees to be as necessary parties to the petition. Considering the request of the representative of the respondents, the matter is adjourned with a direction to the respondents to file counter affidavit by serving a copy of the same to the petitioner on or before the date of hearing.

Call on 15.11.2023 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 20 of 2023	M/s. Sarda Metals & Alloys Limited	TSDISCOMs

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondents to pay the surcharge on delayed payments of regular power supply bills and backdown compensation amount along with interest.

Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents is present. There is no representation for the petitioner, when the matter is called. The representative of the respondents sought time to file counter affidavit, if any, in the matter, though the matter is covered by the orders of the Commission, one particular aspect of the petition is required to be answered. In view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned. However, the counsel for the petitioner Sri. Deepak Chowdari made appearance at the fag end of the proceedings for the day and by that time the Commission has already adjourned the matter.

Call on 15.11.2023 at 11.30 A. M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
R. P. (SR) No. 79 of 2023 in O. P. No. 77 of 2022	M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Limited	TSDISCOMs

Review petition filed seeking review of the order dated 24.03.2023 in O. P. No. 77 of 2022 passed by the Commission

Sri. G. V. Brahmananda Rao, Advocate representing Sri. P. Shiva Rao, counsel for review petitioner is present. The advocate representing the counsel for review petitioner has sought adjournment of the matter, as the counsel for review petitioner is out of station. Considering the request of the advocate representing the counsel for review petitioner, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 15.11.2023 at 11.30 A. M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. (SR) No. 116 of 2022	TSSPDCL	-None-

Petition filed seeking consent for the draft first amendment dated 10.10.2022 to the power purchase agreement dated 19.02.2022 executed between you and M/s. Hyderabad MSW Energy Solutions Private Limited for enhancement of capacity of the waste to energy plant from 19.8 MW to 24 MW capacity at Jawaharnagar, Kapra, Medchal district.

Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for petitioner is present. The representative of the petitioner as well as officers accompany the representative made submissions on the petition. The Commission having perused the record took exception to the way the petition had been filed without examining the requirement of information and the necessity of providing complete comprehensive fair information in the matter. The petitioner has into a draft amendment PPA without verifying the technical parameters for enhancement of capacity from 19.8 MW to 24 MW. Moreover, when the Commission sought to examine the technical aspects and required the petitioner to submit the details, two contradictory reports have been placed at the disposal of the Commission. Both the reports have been secured by the petitioner itself, one being from its internal officers and the other from the external agency. These reports

relating to technical examination should have been done at the first instance itself before filing the petition. The petitioner should have examined the request of enhanced capacity considering the technical parameters before approaching the Commission for approval of draft amendment PPA.

The Commission sought to know from the officers of the petitioner as to why the aspects have not been examined before filing of the petition. The officers present have replied that they relied on the recommendations of Telangana State Renewable Energy Development Corporation, which had suggested the fuel and rating capacity. Also, reliance was placed on the certification done by the Chief Electrical Inspector for the State of Telangana, who had certified about the rating capacity of the plant. They sought to explain the said details. The Commission expressed displeasure in the way the matter was handled and also sought to know how the petitioner is injecting higher capacity of more than the rated capacity. There is no reply from them, however, they tried to explain on these aspects only after the Commission had raised the questions on the same.

At this stage, the representative of the petitioner sought some time to rectify the defects and also place the appropriate material or else to return the petition. However, the Commission pointed out that since the matter has been called for hearing on the maintainability, it has no other option except to decide the matter either to entertain or refuse the same by rejecting it. Therefore, the Commission expressed its views in the matter and would pass orders accordingly on it.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman